

Message

From: Bilott, Robert A. [bilott@taftlaw.com]
Sent: 1/12/2016 11:58:23 PM
To: Strynar, Mark [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=5a9910d5b38e471497bd875fd329a20a-Strynar, Mark]
Subject: RE: PFOA replacement compound info

Thanks so much, Mark! Much appreciated – I look forward to reviewing and appreciate the offer to discuss. Thanks!

Taft /

Robert A. Bilott / Partner
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP
425 Walnut Street, Suite 1800
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3957
Tel: 513.381.2838 • Fax: 513.381.0205
Direct: 513.357.9638 | **Personal Phone / Ex. 6**
www.taftlaw.com / bilott@taftlaw.com

This message may contain information that is attorney-client privileged, attorney work product or otherwise confidential. If you are not an intended recipient, use and disclosure of this message are prohibited. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

From: Strynar, Mark [mailto:Strynar.Mark@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2016 8:28 AM
To: Bilott, Robert A.
Subject: PFOA replacement compound info

Mr. Bilot.

My name is Mark Strynar. I am an analytical chemist at the US EPA. I have been working on the issue of perfluorinated chemicals at the EPA for about as long as you have been working on this litigation. You may see my name in some of the literature cited for health effects, and environmental monitoring.

In the NY Times piece from 1-10-16 I noted two references to “alternative substances discovered but discarded 20 years ago” to replace PFOA.

I am fairly sure what is being referred to is a compound called Gen-X by DuPont (Chemours). We recently published a paper that chronicles the discovery of this (and other similar compounds) in a North Carolina river called the Cape Fear river. Interestingly to you however may be part of this discovery was based on a WV DEP document asking that this “replacement compound” called “C3-dimer – CAS# 13252-13-6” be allowed to be discharged at the Parkersburg, WV plant into the Ohio River. I am attaching my paper. Reference 6 shows the WV DEP Executed Draft Consent Order 7418.

To my knowledge little to nothing is known about the fate and/or toxicology associated with this replacement compound and associated compounds. I know it is in the North Carolina water, and suspect it is at alternate locations where Gen-X is being used/manufactured.

I would be happy to discuss any technical details of this paper if you are interested.

Thanks for your time and for the work you do.

Mark Strynar
US EPA
National Exposure Research Laboratory
919-541-3706